

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.   | FILING DATE     | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.     | CONFIRMATION NO.         |  |
|---|-----------------|----------------------|-------------------------|--------------------------|--|
| 10/630,514  | 07/30/2003      | Bret R. Shoberg      | P-11234.00              | 7898                     |  |
| 27581   | 7590 07/19/2006 |                      | EXAM                    | EXAMINER                 |  |
| MEDTRONIC, INC. 710 MEDTRONIC PARK MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55432-9924 |                 |                      | . KAHELIN, MICH         | KAHELIN, MICHAEL WILLIAM |  |
|   |                 |                      | ART UNIT                | PAPER NUMBER             |  |
|   | ,               |                      | 3762                    |                          |  |
|   |                 |                      | DATE MAILED: 07/19/2000 | 5                        |  |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Application/Control Number: 10/630,514 Page 2

Art Unit: 3762

## **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Information Disclosure Statement

1. The information disclosure statement filed 7/30/2003 fails to comply with the provisions of 37 CFR 1.97, 1.98 and MPEP § 609 because a reference is less than two years before the filing date of the application and lacking a publication month. It has been placed in the application file, but the information referred to therein has not been considered as to the merits. Applicant is advised that the date of any re-submission of any item of information contained in this information disclosure statement or the submission of any missing element(s) will be the date of submission for purposes of determining compliance with the requirements based on the time of filing the statement, including all certification requirements for statements under 37 CFR 1.97(e). See MPEP § 609.05(a).

### Election/Restrictions

2. Applicant's election with traverse of claims 1-43 in the reply filed on 5/11/2006 is acknowledged. The traversal is on the ground(s) that examination of all claims poses no undue burden on the Examiner. This is not found persuasive because of the separate status in the art the two inventions have acquired, in view of their different classifications, thus requiring additional search.

The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

Application/Control Number: 10/630,514 Page 3

Art Unit: 3762

3. Claims 44-56 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a nonelected invention, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Applicant timely traversed the restriction (election) requirement in the reply filed on 5/11/2006.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 5. Claims 1, 2, 5, 6, 9-11, 18, 20-27, 30, 31, 32, 39 and 41-43 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Williams et al. (US 5,871,530, hereinafter "Williams").
- 6. In regards to claim 1, Williams discloses an elastomeric multi-lumen tube including a lumen with an inner surface forming a substantially elliptical cross-section (Fig. 5). The cross section includes a minor axis (extending radially outward from center) that is deformable such that the first length extends to a greater second length.

  Lastly, Williams includes an elongated conductor with a circular cross-section (18). The lumen of Williams is "substantially elliptical", insofar as the lumen of Applicant's Figure 3 is "substantially elliptical" and Williams' minor axis is inherently deformable to a greater second length due to the pliable nature of the constituent elastomeric material.

Art Unit: 3762

7. In regards to claim 2, Williams' conductor has an outer diameter "approximately equal" to the minor axis because there is only a small gap between the conductor and inner wall.

- 8. In regards to claims 6 and 27, the conductor is in the form of a coil (Fig. 5).
- 9. In regards to claim 9 and 30, the conductor is in the form of a cable (col. 7, line 39).
- 10. In regards to claims 10 and 31, the lumen includes a major axis (through lumen, tangential to the outer diameter of the lead) dividing the cross-section into asymmetrical sections (Fig. 5).
- 11. In regards to claims 11 and 32, the inner surface comprises a flattened portion (Fig. 5).
- 12. In regards to claims 18 and 39, the lead further comprises an overlay sheath (30).
- 13. In regards to claims 20, 21, 41 and 42, the lead may comprise silicone or polyurethane (col. 18, line 59).
- 14. In regards to claims 22 and 43, the proximal region of the lead may be made of silicone (col. 6, line 50), while the distal region is made of polyurethane (col. 18, line 59).
- 15. In regards to claim 23, the intersection of the conductor and the lumen forms two separate spaces having substantially crescent-shaped cross-sections (Fig. 5, small gap near the center of the lead body and much larger gap radially distal from the first gap).

Application/Control Number: 10/630,514 Page 5

Art Unit: 3762

16. In regards to claims 5 and 24-26, the conductor comprises a sheath of fluoro-polymer (col. 9, line 1; because the coating is an "enveloping structure", Examiner is interpreting it as a sheath), which makes it lubricious.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 17. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 18. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).
- 19. Claims 3 and 4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Williams. Williams discloses that the conductor comprises a sheath of fluoro-polymer (col. 9, line 1; because the coating is an "enveloping structure", Examiner is interpreting it as a sheath), and which has a minor axis/diameter equal to the lumen. Alternatively, it is well known to coat or sheath

conductors with fluoro-polymers, such as PTFE to provide an insulated, lubricous, and biocompatible coating for conductors. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide Williams' conductor with a fluoro-polymer coating to provide an insulated, lubricous, and biocompatible coating for the conductor.

- 20. Claims 7, 8, 28, and 29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Williams. Williams discloses the essential features of the claimed invention except for an extendable and retractable electrode wherein a connector pin contact rotates a coil to extend and retract an electrode, and an elongated conductor in the lumen of the coil. It is well known in the art to provide extendable and retractable electrodes wherein a connector pin contact rotates a coil to extend and retract the electrode to provide a deployable active fixation mechanism that provides rigid fixation and avoids snagging during insertion, and elongated conductors in the lumen of coils to provide multipolar leads which can stimulate different areas of the heart with different voltages.
- 21. Claims 12-17, 19, 33-38 and 40 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Williams in view of Shoberg et al. (US 5,584,873, hereinafter "Shoberg"). Williams discloses the essential features of the claimed invention including a plurality of lumens offset from the center point of the tube (Fig. 5), a plurality of lumens comprising three lumens (Fig. 5), each of the plurality of lumens includes a circular cross-section (see US 5,303,704, col. 3, line 24, incorporated by reference), and a conductor extends within at least one of the lumens (Fig. 5). Williams does not

Art Unit: 3762

expressly disclose a minimum wall thickness between approximately 0.002 inch and approximately 0.008 inch or an electrode with an outer diameter approximately equal to an overlay sheath. Shoberg teaches of providing a multi-lumen lead with a minimum wall thickness of approximately 0.008 inch (col. 4, line 9) to provide a lead that is both small to allow passage through small vessels and insulated enough to avoid short circuits; and an electrode (14) with an outer diameter approximately equal to an overlay sheath (12) to provide an isodiametric lead that will avoid snagging. Alternatively, it is well known in the art to minimize the diameter of leads to allow passage through small vessels and minimize trauma. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide Williams' invention with a minimum wall thickness of approximately 0.008 inch to provide a lead that is both small to allow passage through small vessels and insulated enough to avoid short circuits; and an electrode with an outer diameter approximately equal to an overlay sheath to provide an isodiametric lead that will avoid snagging.

#### Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Williams et al. (US 5,246,014) is one of many teachings of a rotatable coil for extending an electrode.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michael Kahelin whose telephone number is (571) 272-8688. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F, 9-5.

Application/Control Number: 10/630,514

Art Unit: 3762

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Angela Sykes can be reached on (571) 272-4955. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

MWK ML HK 6/30/06

GEORGE R. EVANISKO PRIMARY EXAMINER

Page 8